arXiv:1409.3712v2 [math.AG] 4 Nov 2015

RATIONAL CURVES ON CALABI-YAU THREEFOLDS: VERIFYING MIRROR SYMMETRY PREDICTIONS

DANG TUAN HIEP

Dedicated to Professor Stein Arild Strømme

Abstract. In this paper, the numbers of rational curves on general complete intersection Calabi-Yau threefolds in complex projective spaces are computed up to degree six. The results are all in agreement with the predictions made from mirror symmetry.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
1.1. The main result 2
2. Gromov-Witten invariants 3
2.1. Moduli spaces of stable maps 3
2.2. Virtual fundamental classes 4
2.3. Defining Gromov-Witten invariants 5
3. Localization of $\overline{M}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^r, d)$ 6
4. Lines on hypersurfaces 11
5. Rational curves on quintic threefolds 13
6. Rational curves on complete intersections 16
Acknowledgements 22
References 22

1. Introduction

In [2], the string theorists Candelas, de la Ossa, Green and Parkes predicted the numbers n_d of degree d rational curves on a general quintic hypersurface in 4-dimensional projective space. By similar methods, Libgober and Teitelbaum [20] predicted the numbers of rational curves on the remaining general Calabi-Yau threefolds in projective spaces. The computation of the physicists is based on arguments from topological quantum field

Date: October 14, 2015.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14C17, 14Q15, 14N15, 14N35, 14H81.
Key words and phrases. Gromov-Witten invariants, enumerative geometry, equivariant cohomology, Bott's formula, Calabi-Yau threefolds, rational curves, mirror symmetry, torus action.

theory and mirror symmetry. These predictions went far beyond anything algebraic geometry could prove at the time and became a challenge for mathematicians to understand mirror symmetry and to find a mathematically rigorous proof of the physical predictions. The process of creating a rigorous mathematical foundation for mirror symmetry is still far from being finished. For important aspects concerning this question, we refer to Morrison [21], Givental [11, 12], and Lian, Liu, and Yau [19]. For an introduction to the algebro-geometric aspects of mirror symmetry, see Cox and Katz [3]. To verify the physical predictions by algebro-geometric methods, we have to find a suitable moduli space of rational curves separately for every degree d, and express the locus of rational curves on a general Calabi-Yau threefold as a certain zero-dimensional cycle class on the moduli space. We then need in particular to evaluate the degree of a given zero-dimensional cycle class. This is possible, in principle, whenever the Chow ring of the moduli space is known. Unfortunately, in general it is quite difficult to describe the Chow ring of a moduli space. Alternatively, Bott's formula allows us to express the degree of a certain zero-dimensional cycle class on a moduli space endowed with a torus action in terms of local contributions supported on the components of the fixed point locus. The local contributions are of course much simpler than the whole space. By these methods, algebraic geometers checked the results of physicists up to degree 4: $n_1 = 2875$ is classically known, $n_2 = 609250$ has been shown by Katz [14], $n_3 = 317206375$ by Ellingsrud and Strømme [6, 7], $n_4 = 242467530000$ by Kontsevich [18].

In this paper, we present a strategy for computing Gromov-Witten invariants using the localization theorem and Bott's formula. This method is based on the work of Kontsevich [18]. As an insightful example, the numbers of rational curves on general complete intersection Calabi-Yau threefolds in complex projective spaces are computed up to degree six. The results are all in agreement with the predictions made from mirror symmetry in [2, 20]. As a short digression, the problem of counting lines on a general hypersurface is considered, even if this hypersurface is not Calabi-Yau. All computations have been implemented in a SINGULAR library which is called `schubert.lib`. The code is available from the author upon request or at `https://github.com/hiepdang/Singular`.

1.1. **The main result.** Let

$$X = \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} X_i \subset \mathbb{P}^{k+3}$$

be a smooth complete intersection threefold, where X_i is a smooth hypersurface of degree $d_i \geq 2$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, k$. In this case, we will say that X has type $(d_1, \ldots, d_k)$. If the canonical bundle K_X on X is trivial, then X is called *Calabi-Yau*. By the adjunction formula [8, Example 3.2.12], we have

$$K_X \cong \mathcal{O}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} d_i - (k+4)\right).$$

Suppose that X is Calabi-Yau. Then we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} d_i = k + 4.$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that $d_1 \geq \cdots \geq d_k \geq 2$. Thus we have

$$2k \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} d_i = k + 4,$$

so $k \leq 4$. Therefore there are precisely five possibilities.

(1) If $k = 1$, then $d_1 = 5$, and thus X is a quintic threefold in $\mathbb{P}^4$.
(2) If $k = 2$, then there will be two possibilities as follows:
   (a) $d_1 = 4, d_2 = 2$. Then X is a complete intersection of type $(4, 2)$ in $\mathbb{P}^5$.
   (b) $d_1 = d_2 = 3$. Then X is a complete intersection of type $(3, 3)$ in $\mathbb{P}^5$.
(3) If $k = 3$, then $d_1 = 3, d_2 = d_3 = 2$. In this case, X is a complete intersection of type $(3, 2, 2)$ in $\mathbb{P}^6$.
(4) If $k = 4$, then $d_1 = d_2 = d_3 = d_4 = 2$. In this case, X is a complete intersection of type $(2, 2, 2, 2)$ in $\mathbb{P}^7$.

The main result of this paper, which agrees with the mirror symmetry computation, is the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1. *Let d be an integer with $1 \leq d \leq 6$. The numbers of rational curves of degree d on the general complete intersection Calabi-Yau threefolds are given by Table 1 and Table 2.*

2. Gromov-Witten invariants

Gromov-Witten invariants have their origin in physics. There are several ways to define Gromov-Witten invariants using algebraic geometry or symplectic geometry. For details of the definitions and properties of Gromov-Witten invariants, we refer to [3, Chapter 7]. Roughly speaking, Gromov-Witten invariants are the intersection numbers of cycle classes in the rational Chow rings of moduli spaces of stable maps subject to certain geometric conditions on the curves. In order to define Gromov-Witten invariants in algebraic geometry, first of all we need to recall some basic facts about moduli spaces of stable maps and virtual fundamental classes.

2.1. **Moduli spaces of stable maps.**

In [17], Kontsevich introduced the notion of moduli spaces of stable maps. In this section, we define and state some results about these moduli spaces. We only concentrate on the case of rational curves (genus zero), but some results are still true in the general case. An introduction to this subject was given by Fulton and Pandharipande in [9].

Let X be a smooth projective variety and fix a class $\beta \in A_1(X)$. An n-pointed map is a morphism $f : C \to X$, where C denotes a nodal curve [1] with n distinct marked points that are smooth on C. An n-pointed map $f : C \to X$ is called stable if its group of automorphisms is finite. We denote by $\overline{M}_{0,n}(X, \beta)$ the set of all n-pointed stable maps from a rational curve C to X such that $f_*[C] = \beta$.

Theorem 2.1. [9, Theorem 1] *The moduli space $\overline{M}_{0,n}(X, \beta)$ has a structure of a projective scheme.*

We say that X is *convex* if for every morphism $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \to X$,

$$H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, f^*(T_X)) = 0,$$

where T_X is the tangent bundle on X. The following theorem concerns the convex case.

Theorem 2.2. [9, Theorem 2] *Let X be a convex variety and $\beta \in A_1(X)$. Then $\overline{M}_{0,n}(X, \beta)$ is a normal projective variety of pure dimension*

$$\dim(X) + \int_\beta c_1(T_X) + n - 3.$$

For each marked point p_i, there is a natural map

$$\begin{aligned} e_i : \overline{M}_{0,n}(X, \beta) &\longrightarrow X \\ (C; p_1, \ldots, p_n; f) &\longmapsto f(p_i) \end{aligned}$$

which is called the evaluation map at p_i. These morphisms play an important role to relate the geometry of of $\overline{M}_{0,n}(X, \beta)$ to the geometry of X.

In case $X = \mathbb{P}^r$, we can write $\beta = d\ell$, where ℓ is the cycle class of a line. The moduli space $\overline{M}_{0,n}(\mathbb{P}^r, d\ell)$ is an orbifold which is the quotient of a smooth variety (see [3, Section 7.1.1]). By Theorem 2.2, $\overline{M}_{0,n}(\mathbb{P}^r, d\ell)$ is also a normal projective variety of dimension $rd + r + d + n - 3$. We will write $\overline{M}_{0,n}(\mathbb{P}^r, d)$ in place of $\overline{M}_{0,n}(\mathbb{P}^r, d\ell)$. For more details on the construction and properties of $\overline{M}_{0,n}(\mathbb{P}^r, d)$, we refer to [16, Chapter 2].

2.2. **Virtual fundamental classes.** For details of the construction and properties of virtual fundamental classes we refer to [3, Section 7.1.4]. Naturally, the virtual fundamental class $[\overline{M}_{0,n}(X, \beta)]^{\text{virt}}$ is an element of the Chow group of $\overline{M}_{0,n}(X, \beta)$. In many cases, we have

$$[\overline{M}_{0,n}(X, \beta)]^{\text{virt}} = [\overline{M}_{0,n}(X, \beta)],$$

for instance, in the case when X is a projective space, and more generally a convex variety.

[1] A nodal curve is a compact connected curve with at most nodes as singularities. For convenience, a curve is always assumed to be nodal in this paper.

Example 2.3. In this example, we construct the virtual fundamental class for the quintic hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^4$. Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ be a smooth quintic hypersurface, and let d be a positive integer. The inclusion $X \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ induces a natural embedding

$$i : \overline{M}_{0,0}(X, d\ell) \longrightarrow \overline{M}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^4, d),$$

where ℓ is the class of a line. Let $\mathcal{V}_d$ be the rank $5d+1$ vector bundle on the stack $\overline{M}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^4, d)$ whose fiber over a stable map $f : C \to \mathbb{P}^4$ is $H^0(C, f^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(5))$. One can show that $\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, d\ell)$ is the zero locus of a section s of $\mathcal{V}_d$. Moreover, we have

$$[\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, d\ell)]^{\text{virt}} = s^*[C_Z Y],$$

where $Z = \overline{M}_{0,0}(X, d\ell), Y = \overline{M}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^4, d)$, and $C_Z Y$ is the normal cone to Z in Y. For details of the definition and properties of normal cones we refer to [8, Section 2.5].

2.3. **Defining Gromov-Witten invariants.**

Definition 2.4. Let X be a smooth projective variety and let $\beta \in A_1(X)$ be a cycle class. The *Gromov-Witten invariant* of β associated with the cycle classes $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n \in A^*(X)$ is the rational number defined by

$$I_{n,\beta}(\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n) := \int_{\overline{M}_{0,n}(X,\beta)} e_1^*(\gamma_1) \cup \cdots \cup e_n^*(\gamma_n) \cup [\overline{M}_{0,n}(X, \beta)]^{\text{virt}},$$

where $\cup$ is the cup product. Note that we have to use the cup product since the moduli space $\overline{M}_{0,n}(X, \beta)$ is a singular variety. For the definition of cup product, we refer to [16, Section 4.1.2].

In case $n = 0$, we denote the Gromov-Witten invariant of the cycle class $\beta \in A_1(X)$ by

$$I_\beta := \int_{\overline{M}_{0,0}(X,\beta)} [\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, \beta)]^{\text{virt}},$$

that is the degree of the virtual fundamental class $[\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, \beta)]^{\text{virt}}$.

Example 2.5. Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ be a smooth quintic hypersurface. We have shown in Example 2.3 that the virtual fundamental class $[\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, d\ell)]^{\text{virt}}$ is a cycle class on $\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, d\ell)$. By Definition 2.4, we have the Gromov-Witten invariant

$$I_{d\ell} = \int_{\overline{M}_{0,0}(X,d\ell)} [\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, d\ell)]^{\text{virt}}.$$

By [3, Lemma 7.1.5], we have

$$i_*([\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, d\ell)]^{\text{virt}}) = c_{5d+1}(\mathcal{V}_d) \in A^*(\overline{M}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^4, d)).$$

This will lead to a nice formula of the form

$$I_{d\ell} = \int_{\overline{M}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^4,d)} c_{5d+1}(\mathcal{V}_d).$$

In Section 5, we will present how to compute this integral using the localization of $\overline{M}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^4, d)$ and Bott's formula.

3. Localization of $\overline{M}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^r, d)$

The natural action of $T = (\mathbb{C}^*)^{r+1}$ on $\mathbb{P}^r$ induces an action of T on $\overline{M}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^r, d)$ by the composition of the action with stable maps. The fixed point loci and their equivariant normal bundles were determined for $\overline{M}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^r, d)$ by Kontsevich in [18].

We denote the set of fixed points by $\overline{M}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^r, d)^T$. A fixed point of the T-action on $\overline{M}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^r, d)$ corresponds to a stable map (C, f) where each irreducible component C_i of C is either mapped to a fixed point of $\mathbb{P}^r$ or a multiple cover of a coordinate line. Each node of C and each ramification point of f is also mapped to a fixed point of $\mathbb{P}^r$. Thus each fixed point (C, f) of the T-action on $\overline{M}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^r, d)$ can be associated with a graph Γ. Let $q_0, \ldots, q_r$ be the fixed points of $\mathbb{P}^r$ under the torus action.

(1) The vertices of Γ are in one-to-one correspondence with the connected components C_i of $f^{-1}(\{q_0, \ldots, q_r\})$, where each C_i is either a point or a non-empty union of irreducible components of C.
(2) The edges of Γ correspond to irreducible components C_e of C which are mapped onto some coordinate line l_e in $\mathbb{P}^r$.

The graph Γ has the following labels: Associate to each vertex v the number i_v defined by $f(C_v) = q_{i_v}$. Associate to each edge e the degree d_e of the map $f|_{C_e}$. The connected components of $\overline{M}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^r, d)^T$ are naturally labelled by equivalence classes of connected graphs Γ. Furthermore, the following conditions must be satisfied:

(1) If an edge e connects v and v', then $i_v \neq i_{v'}$, and l_e is the coordinate line joining q_{i_v} and $q_{i_{v'}}$.
(2) $\sum_e d_e = d$.

We denote the number of edges connected to v by $\mathrm{val}(v)$. The stable maps associated with the fixed graph Γ define a subspace

$$\overline{M}_\Gamma \subset \overline{M}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^r, d).$$

Fix $(C, f) \in \overline{M}_\Gamma$. For each vertex v such that the component C_v of C is one dimensional, C_v has $\mathrm{val}(v)$ special points. The data consisting of C_v plus these $\mathrm{val}(v)$ points forms a stable curve, giving an element of $\overline{M}_{0,\mathrm{val}(v)}$. Using the data of Γ, we can construct a map

$$\psi_\Gamma : \prod_{v:\dim C_v=1} \overline{M}_{0,\mathrm{val}(v)} \longrightarrow \overline{M}_\Gamma.$$

See [3, Section 9.2.1] for more details of this construction. Note that $\mathrm{val}(v)$ can be defined for all vertices v and that $\dim C_v = 1$ if and only if C_v contains a component of C contracted by f if and only if $\mathrm{val}(v) \geq 3$. We define

$$F_\Gamma = \prod_{v:\dim C_v=1} \overline{M}_{0,\mathrm{val}(v)}.$$

If there are no contracted components, then we let F_Γ be a point. The map ψ_Γ is finite. There is a finite group of automorphisms A_Γ acting on F_Γ such that the quotient space is

$\overline{M}_\Gamma$. The group A_Γ fits into an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \prod_e \mathbb{Z}/d_e\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow A_\Gamma \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma) \longrightarrow 0, \tag{1}$$

where $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$ is the group of automorphisms of Γ which preserve the labels. We denote a_Γ by the order of A_Γ. This number appears in the denominator of the formula in [3, Corollary 9.1.4].

Example 3.1. Let us describe the fixed point components of the natural action of T on $\overline{M}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^r, 1)$. The possible graphs are of the following type:

$\Gamma_{i,j}$: 

In these labelled graphs, we have added the labels $i, j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, r\}$ with $i \neq j$ below the vertices and the degree 1 above the edge. For each graph, it is easy to see that $|\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma_{i,j})| = 2$, hence we have $a_{\Gamma_{i,j}} = 2$. For example, in the case of $\overline{M}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^4, 1)$, there are 20 graphs. Here is the implementation enumerating these graphs in `schubert.lib`:

```
> variety P = projectiveSpace(4);
> stack M = moduliSpace(P,1);
> M;
A moduli space of dimension 6
> list F = fixedPoints(M);
> size(F);
20
> F[1];
[1]:
   A graph with 2 vertices and 1 edges
[2]:
   2
> graph G = F[1][1];
> G;
A graph with 2 vertices and 1 edges
> size(G.vertices);
2
> size(G.edges);
1
```

In `schubert.lib`, a graph is represented by a list of vertices and a list of edges. For later use we store some additional information in the graph: A vertex of a graph is represented by a list of integers. The first element of such a list is the label of the vertex, the second one is the number of edges containing the vertex, and the remaining data

describing the edges which contain the vertex. An edge of a graph is represented by a list of length 3. The first two entries are the labels of the two vertices of the edge, the last entry is the degree of the irreducible component corresponding to the edge. For example, each graph $\Gamma_{i,j}$ as above has two vertices $(i, 1, (i, j, 1))$ and $(j, 1, (j, i, 1))$, and one edge $(i, j, 1)$.

Example 3.2. Let us describe the fixed point components of the natural action of T on $\overline{M}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^r, 2)$. The possible graphs are of the following types:



Note that $i, j, k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, r\}$, and the vertices of an edge must have different labels. For each type, it is easy to see that $|\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma_i)| = 2$, hence $a_{\Gamma_1} = 4$ and $a_{\Gamma_2} = 2$. For instance, if $r = 1$, then there will be 4 graphs. If $r = 4$, then there will be 100 graphs.

Example 3.3. In the case of $\overline{M}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^r, 3)$, the possible graphs have the following types:



Note that $i, j, k, h \in \{0, 1, \ldots, r\}$, and the vertices of an edge must have different labels. It is easy to see that $|\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma_1)| = 2, |\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma_2)| = 1, |\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma_3)| = 2$, and $|\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma_4)| = 6$. Hence $a_{\Gamma_1} = 6, a_{\Gamma_2} = a_{\Gamma_3} = 2$, and $a_{\Gamma_4} = 6$.

Example 3.4. In the case of $\overline{M}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^r, 4)$, the possible graphs have the following types:





Note that $i, j, k, h, m \in \{0, 1, \ldots, r\}$, and the vertices of an edge must have different labels. For these graphs, we have $|\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma_1)| = |\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma_3)| = |\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma_5)| = |\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma_6)| = |\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma_7)| = |\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma_8)| = 2, |\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma_2)| = |\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma_4)| = 1$, and $|\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma_9)| = 24$. Hence $a_{\Gamma_1} = a_{\Gamma_3} = 8, a_{\Gamma_2} = 3, a_{\Gamma_4} = a_{\Gamma_7} = a_{\Gamma_8} = 2, a_{\Gamma_5} = a_{\Gamma_6} = 4$, and $a_{\Gamma_9} = 24$.

Example 3.5. In the case of $\overline{M}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^r, 5)$, in addition to the analogues of the graphs above, we have the following graphs:



Note that $i, j, k, h, m, n \in \{0, 1, \ldots, r\}$, and the vertices of an edge must have different labels. For these graphs, we have $a_{\Gamma_1} = a_{\Gamma_2} = a_{\Gamma_3} = 2, a_{\Gamma_4} = 6, a_{\Gamma_5} = 8$, and $a_{\Gamma_6} = 120$.

Example 3.6. In the case of $\overline{M}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^r, 6)$, in addition to the analogues of the graphs above, we have the following graphs:





Note that $i, j, k, h, m, n, p \in \{0, 1, \ldots, r\}$, and the vertices of an edge must have different labels. For these graphs, we have $a_{\Gamma_1} = a_{\Gamma_2} = a_{\Gamma_7} = 2, a_{\Gamma_3} = 1, a_{\Gamma_4} = a_{\Gamma_8} = 6, a_{\Gamma_5} = 4, a_{\Gamma_6} = 8, a_{\Gamma_9} = 12, a_{\Gamma_{10}} = 24$, and $a_{\Gamma_{11}} = 720$.

In order to apply Bott's formula to $\overline{M}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^r, d)$, we need a formula for computing the T-equivariant Euler class of the normal bundle N_Γ of $\overline{M}_\Gamma$. We define a flag F of a graph to be a pair (v, e) such that v is a vertex of e. Put $i(F) = v$ and let $j(F)$ be the other vertex of e. Set

$$\omega_F = \frac{\lambda_{i_{i(F)}} - \lambda_{i_{j(F)}}}{d_e}.$$

This corresponds to the weight of a torus action on the tangent space of the component C_e of C at the point p_F lying over i_v. If v is a vertex with $\mathrm{val}(v) = 1$, then we denote by $F(v)$ the unique flag containing v. If $\mathrm{val}(v) = n \geq 2$, then we denote by $F_1(v), F_2(v), \ldots, F_n(v)$ the n flags containing v. We also denote by $v_1(e)$ and $v_2(e)$ the two vertices of an edge e.

Theorem 3.7 (Kontsevich, [18]). *The T-equivariant Euler class of the normal bundle N_Γ is a product of contributions from the vertices and edges. More precisely, we have*

$$e^T(N_\Gamma) = e_\Gamma^v e_\Gamma^e,$$

where e_Γ^v and e_Γ^e are defined by the following formulas:

$$e_\Gamma^v = \prod_v \left(\left(\prod_{j \neq i_v} (\lambda_{i_v} - \lambda_j) \right)^{1-\mathrm{val}(v)} \left(\sum_i \omega_{F_i(v)}^{-1} \right)^{3-\mathrm{val}(v)} \prod_i \omega_{F_i(v)} \right),$$

$$e_\Gamma^e = \prod_e \left(\frac{(-1)^{d_e}(d_e!)^2(\lambda_{i_{v_1(e)}} - \lambda_{i_{v_2(e)}})^{2d_e}}{d_e^{2d_e}} \prod_{a,b \in \mathbb{N}, a+b=d_e, k \neq i_{v_j(e)}} \left(\frac{a\lambda_{i_{v_1(e)}} + b\lambda_{i_{v_2(e)}}}{d_e} - \lambda_k \right) \right).$$

Proof. A proof of this theorem can be found in [18, Sections 3.3.3 and 3.3.4]. □

The formulas in Theorem 3.7 give an effective way to compute $e^T(N_\Gamma)$. We will work out the nontrivial examples of these formulas in the next sections.

4. Lines on hypersurfaces

In this section, we reconsider the problem of counting lines on a general hypersurface of degree $d = 2r - 3$ in $\mathbb{P}^r$. This problem was considered in [5, Chapter 8] using classical Schubert calculus. In this section, we use the localization of $\overline{M}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^r, 1)$ and Bott's formula for solving the problem.

Given a general hypersurface X of degree $d = 2r - 3$ in $\mathbb{P}^r$ and the class $\ell \in A_1(X)$, we compute the Gromov-Witten invariant

$$I_\ell^{(r)} = \int_{\overline{M}_{0,0}(X,\ell)} [\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, \ell)]^{\mathrm{virt}}.$$

By arguments similar to those in Example 2.5, we have the following formula:

$$I_\ell^{(r)} = \int_{\overline{M}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^r,1)} c_{2r-2}(\mathcal{E}_r),$$

where $\mathcal{E}_r$ is the vector bundle on $\overline{M}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^r, 1)$ whose fiber at a stable map $f : C \to \mathbb{P}^r$ is $H^0(C, f^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(2r-3))$.

By Example 3.1, there are $r(r+1)$ graphs $\Gamma_{i,j}$ corresponding to the fixed point components of the natural action of T on $\overline{M}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^r, 1)$. By arguments similar to those in the paper of Kontsevich (see [18], Example 2.2) and using Corollary 9.1.4 in [3], we obtain:

$$I_\ell^{(r)} = \int_{\overline{M}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^r,1)} c_{2r-2}(\mathcal{E}_r) = \sum_{\Gamma_{i,j}} \frac{c_{2r-2}^T(\mathcal{E}_r|_{\Gamma_{i,j}})}{a_{\Gamma_{i,j}} e^T(N_{\Gamma_{i,j}})},$$

where $c^T_{2r-2}(\mathcal{E}_r|_{\Gamma_{i,j}})$ is defined by the following formula:

$$c^T_{2r-2}(\mathcal{E}_r|_{\Gamma_{i,j}}) = \prod_e \left(\prod_{a,b\in\mathbb{N}, a+b=(2r-3)d_e} \frac{a\lambda_{i_{v_1(e)}} + b\lambda_{i_{v_2(e)}}}{d_e} \right) \prod_v \left((2r-3)\lambda_{i_v}\right)^{1-\mathrm{val}(v)}. \tag{2}$$

Since each graph $\Gamma_{i,j}$ has two vertices and one edge, we have $d_e = 1, i_{v_1(e)} = i, i_{v_2(e)} = j$, and $\mathrm{val}(v) = 1$. Thus we have

$$c^T_{2r-2}(\mathcal{E}_r|_{\Gamma_{i,j}}) = \prod_{a,b\in\mathbb{N}, a+b=2r-3} (a\lambda_i + b\lambda_j).$$

For computing $e^T(N_{\Gamma_{i,j}})$, we use the formulas coming from Theorem 3.7. More precisely, we have

$$e^v_{\Gamma_{i,j}} = \frac{1}{(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)(\lambda_j - \lambda_i)},$$

$$e^e_{\Gamma_{i,j}} = -(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2 \prod_{k\neq i,j} (\lambda_i - \lambda_k)(\lambda_j - \lambda_k).$$

Thus

$$e^T(N_{\Gamma_{i,j}}) = e^v_{\Gamma_{i,j}} e^e_{\Gamma_{i,j}} = \prod_{k\neq i,j} (\lambda_i - \lambda_k)(\lambda_j - \lambda_k).$$

Since $a_{\Gamma_{i,j}} = 2$ for all $\Gamma_{i,j}$, we have

$$I^{(r)}_\ell = \sum_{0\leq i,j\leq r, i\neq j} \frac{\prod_{a,b\in\mathbb{N}, a+b=2r-3} (a\lambda_i + b\lambda_j)}{2\prod_{k\neq i,j} (\lambda_i - \lambda_k)(\lambda_j - \lambda_k)} = \sum_{0\leq i<j\leq r} \frac{\prod_{a,b\in\mathbb{N}, a+b=2r-3} (a\lambda_i + b\lambda_j)}{\prod_{k\neq i,j} (\lambda_i - \lambda_k)(\lambda_j - \lambda_k)}. \tag{3}$$

By [16, Example 2.1.15], the moduli space $\overline{M}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^r, 1)$ is isomorphic to the Grassmannian $G(2, r+1)$ of lines in $\mathbb{P}^r$. In this case, using arguments similar to those in [3, Example 7.1.3.1], we construct the virtual fundamental class $[\overline{M}_{0,0}(X,\ell)]^{\mathrm{virt}}$ as follows. Let S be the tautological subbundle on the Grassmannian $G(2, r+1)$. The fiber S_ℓ at a line ℓ is the 2-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{r+1}$ whose projectivization is ℓ. An equation for X gives a section s of the vector bundle $\mathrm{Sym}^{2r-3} S^\vee$. Then $\overline{M}_{0,0}(X,\ell)$ is the zero locus of s. This contribution produces the cycle class

$$s^*[C_Z Y] \in A_0(\overline{M}_{0,0}(X,\ell)),$$

where $C_Z Y$ is the normal cone of $Z = \overline{M}_{0,0}(X,\ell) = Z(s) \subset Y = G(2, r+1)$. By arguments similar to those in [3, Example 7.1.5.1], this class is the virtual fundamental class $[\overline{M}_{0,0}(X,\ell)]^{\mathrm{virt}}$. Then [3, Lemma 7.1.5] implies that

$$i_*([\overline{M}_{0,0}(X,\ell)]^{\mathrm{virt}}) = c_{2r-2}(\mathrm{Sym}^{2r-3} S^\vee) \in A^*(G(2, r+1)),$$

where $i : \overline{M}_{0,0}(X, \ell) \hookrightarrow G(2, r+1)$ is an embedding. This will lead to the following formula:

$$I_\ell^{(r)} = \int_{G(2,r+1)} c_{2r-2}(\mathrm{Sym}^{2r-3} S^\vee). \tag{4}$$

In summary, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ be a general hypersurface of degree $2r-3$. The number of lines on X is given by*

$$\sum_{0 \le i < j \le r} \frac{\prod_{a,b \in \mathbb{N}, a+b=2r-3} (a\lambda_i + b\lambda_j)}{\prod_{k \ne i,j} (\lambda_i - \lambda_k)(\lambda_j - \lambda_k)}.$$

Proof. By [5, Chapter 8], the number of lines on X is finite and can be computed by the following formula:

$$\text{number of lines on } X = \int_{G(2,r+1)} c_{2r-2}(\mathrm{Sym}^{2r-3} S^\vee).$$

The induced action on $\overline{M}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^r, 1)$ gives us formula (3). By (4), we get the desired formula. □

Note that Theorem 4.1 is a special case of Corollary 1.2 in [13]. Furthermore, we can replace the variables λ_i in the formula by certain numbers since they cancel in the final result, which is the number of lines on X.

5. Rational curves on quintic threefolds

Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ be a general quintic threefold and d be a positive integer. An important question in enumerative geometry is how many rational curves of degree d there are on X. It has already been mentioned that the string theorists can compute the numbers n_d of rational curves of degree d on a general quintic threefold via topological quantum field theory. By the algebro-geometric methods, the mathematicians checked these results up to degree 4. We here present how to use the localization of $\overline{M}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^4, d)$ and Bott's formula for verifying these results up to degree 6.

First of all, we need to compute the Gromov-Witten invariant of class $\beta = d\ell \in A_1(X)$, that is

$$N_d = \int_{[\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, d\ell)]^{\mathrm{virt}}} 1.$$

By Example 2.5, we have

$$N_d = \int_{\overline{M}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^4, d)} c_{5d+1}(\mathcal{V}_d). \tag{5}$$

By [3, Corollary 9.1.4] and the arguments as in [18, Example 2.2], we have

$$N_d = \int_{\overline{M}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^4,d)} c_{5d+1}(\mathcal{V}_d) = \sum_{\Gamma} \frac{c^T_{5d+1}(\mathcal{V}_d|_\Gamma)}{a_\Gamma e^T(N_\Gamma)},$$

where the sum runs over all graphs corresponding the fixed point components of a torus action on $\overline{M}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^4, d)$. Given a graph Γ, the T-equivariant Chern class $c^T_{5d+1}(\mathcal{V}_d|_\Gamma)$ is computed by the following formula:

$$c^T_{5d+1}(\mathcal{V}_d|_\Gamma) = \prod_e \left(\prod_{a,b\in\mathbb{N}, a+b=5d_e} \frac{a\lambda_{i_{v_1(e)}} + b\lambda_{i_{v_2(e)}}}{d_e} \right) \prod_v (5\lambda_{i_v})^{1-\mathrm{val}(v)}, \tag{6}$$

where the products run over all the edges and vertices of Γ. The number a_Γ is the order of the group A_Γ as in (1). We sketch an algorithm (see Algorithm 5.1) for computing the Gromov-Witten invariants N_d.

Algorithm 5.1 `rationalCurve(int d)`

Input: A positive integer d.
Output: The Gromov-Witten invariants N_d defined by (5).

1: The natural action of $T = (\mathbb{C}^*)^5$ on $\mathbb{P}^4$ induces a T-action on the moduli space $\overline{M}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^4, d)$. Enumerate all the possible graphs Γ corresponding to the fixed point components of this action.
2: Set $a = 0$.
3: **for** each graph Γ **do**
4: Use formula (6) to compute the T-equivariant Chern class $c^T_{5d+1}(\mathcal{V}_d|_\Gamma)$ of the contribution bundle $\mathcal{V}_d$ at Γ.
5: Use the formulas in Theorem 3.7 to compute the T-equivariant Euler class $e^T(N_\Gamma)$ of the normal bundle N_Γ.
6: Use the exact sequence (1) to compute the order a_Γ of the group A_Γ.
7: Set $a = a + \frac{c^T_{5d+1}(\mathcal{V}_d|_\Gamma)}{a_\Gamma e^T(N_\Gamma)}$.
8: **end for**
9: **Return** a.

In order to shorten the computation time, we replace λ_i by 10^i. This is possible since the final results do not depend on the λ_i. Here is the implementation of Algorithm 5.1 in `schubert.lib`:

```
> proc rationalCurve(int d)
{
    variety P = projectiveSpace(4);
    stack M = moduliSpace(P,d);
    def F = fixedPoints(M);
    number a = 0;
    for (int i=1;i<=size(F);i++)
```

```
    {
        graph G = F[i][1];
        number s = contributionBundle(M,G);
        number t = F[i][2]*normalBundle(M,G);
        a = a + s/t;
        kill s,t,G;
    }
    return (a);
}
```

Then the numbers $N_1, N_2, N_3, N_4, N_5, N_6$ are computed as follows:

```
> ring r = 0,x,dp;
> for (int d=1;d<=6;d++) {rationalCurve(d);}
2875
4876875/8
8564575000/27
15517926796875/64
229305888887648
248249742157695375
```

In `schubert.lib`, a moduli space of stable maps is represented by a projective space and a positive integer which is the degree of stable maps. The command `moduliSpace` makes a class which represents such a moduli space. The function `contributionBundle` is implemented using the formula (6). The function `normalBundle` is implemented using the formulas in Theorem 3.7.

In order to better understand the relation between N_d and n_d, we define *instanton numbers* $\tilde{n}_d$ for the quintic hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^4$ such that the equation

$$N_d = \sum_{k|d} \frac{\tilde{n}_{d/k}}{k^3} \tag{7}$$

is automatically true. By [3, Example 7.4.4.1], if $1 \leq d \leq 9$, then $\tilde{n}_d = n_d$. Unfortunately, this is not true in general. For example, if the Clemens conjecture [3, Conjecture 7.4.3] is true for all $d \leq 10$, then

$$\tilde{n}_{10} = 6 \times 17601000 + n_{10}.$$

See [3, Section 7.4.4] for further discussions on this topic. In particular, we have

$$N_1 = n_1, N_2 = \frac{n_1}{8} + n_2, N_3 = \frac{n_1}{27} + n_3,$$

$$N_4 = \frac{n_1}{64} + \frac{n_2}{8} + n_4, N_5 = \frac{n_1}{125} + n_5, N_6 = \frac{n_1}{216} + \frac{n_2}{27} + \frac{n_3}{8} + n_6.$$

From the computations above, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
n_1 &= N_1 = \mathbf{2875},\\
n_2 &= N_2 - \frac{n_1}{8} = \frac{4876875}{8} - \frac{2875}{8} = \mathbf{609250},\\
n_3 &= N_3 - \frac{n_1}{27} = \frac{8564575000}{27} - \frac{2875}{27} = \mathbf{317206375},\\
n_4 &= N_4 - \frac{n_2}{8} - \frac{n_1}{64} = \frac{15517926796875}{64} - \frac{609250}{8} - \frac{2875}{64} = \mathbf{242467530000},\\
n_5 &= N_5 - \frac{n_1}{125} = 229305888887648 - \frac{2875}{125} = \mathbf{229305888887625},\\
n_6 &= N_6 - \frac{n_3}{8} - \frac{n_2}{27} - \frac{n_1}{216}\\
&= 248249742157695375 - \frac{317206375}{8} - \frac{609250}{27} - \frac{2875}{216}\\
&= \mathbf{248249742118022000}.
\end{aligned}$$

We collect these numbers in Table 1.

d	n_d
1	2875
2	609250
3	317206375
4	242467530000
5	229305888887625
6	248249742118022000

TABLE 1. Some numbers n_d.

The numbers with $5 \leq d \leq 6$ have not been obtained before by the localization theorem and Bott's formula. They are all in agreement with predictions made from mirror symmetry in [2, Table 4] up to degree 6. For a different method for computing these numbers, we refer to the work of Gathmann [10, Example 2.5.8].

6. Rational curves on complete intersections

Using the method applied to the quintic hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^4$, we can also deal with any complete intersection of hypersurfaces. In Section 1.1, we have already shown that there are five complete intersection Calabi-Yau threefolds of type $(d_1, \ldots, d_k)$ in $\mathbb{P}^{k+3}$. In this section, we present how to compute the number $n_d^{(d_1,\ldots,d_k)}$ of rational curves of degree d on a general complete intersection Calabi-Yau threefold of type $(d_1, \ldots, d_k)$ in $\mathbb{P}^{k+3}$. Like in the previous section, we also sketch an algorithm for computing the Gromov-Witten invariants $N_d^{(d_1,\ldots,d_k)}$ corresponding to the numbers $n_d^{(d_1,\ldots,d_k)}$.

Let X be a general complete intersection Calabi-Yau threefold of type $(d_1, \ldots, d_k)$ in $\mathbb{P}^{k+3}$ and let d be a positive integer. We need to compute the Gromov-Witten invariant of the class $d\ell \in A_1(X)$, that is

$$N_d^{(d_1,\ldots,d_k)} = \int_{\overline{M}_{0,0}(X,d\ell)} [\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, d\ell)]^{\mathrm{virt}}. \tag{8}$$

By arguments similar to those in [3, Example 7.1.5.1], we construct the virtual fundamental class $[\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, d\ell)]^{\mathrm{virt}}$ as follows. Let $\mathcal{V}_d^{(d_1,\ldots,d_k)}$ be the vector bundle on the moduli space $\overline{M}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^{k+3}, d)$ whose fiber over a stable map $f : C \to \mathbb{P}^{k+3}$ is

$$H^0(C, f^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{k+3}}(d_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{k+3}}(d_k))).$$

In this case, $\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, d\ell)$ is the zero locus of a general section s of $\mathcal{V}_d^{(d_1,\ldots,d_k)}$. Moreover, we have

$$[\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, d\ell)]^{\mathrm{virt}} = s^*[C_Z Y],$$

where $Z = \overline{M}_{0,0}(X, d\ell), Y = \overline{M}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^{k+3}, d)$, and $C_Z Y$ is the normal cone to Z in Y.

By [3, Lemma 7.1.5], we obtain

$$i_*([\overline{M}_{0,0}(X, d\ell)]^{\mathrm{virt}}) = c_{(k+4)d+k}(\mathcal{V}_d^{(d_1,\ldots,d_k)}) \in A^*(\overline{M}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^{k+3}, d)),$$

where $i : \overline{M}_{0,0}(X, d\ell) \hookrightarrow \overline{M}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^{k+3}, d)$ is an embedding. This implies the following formula:

$$N_d^{(d_1,\ldots,d_k)} = \int_{\overline{M}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^{k+3},d)} c_{(k+4)d+k}(\mathcal{V}_d^{(d_1,\ldots,d_k)}).$$

In other words, we have

$$\mathcal{V}_d^{(d_1,\ldots,d_k)} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} \mathcal{V}_d^{(d_i)},$$

where $\mathcal{V}_d^{(d_i)}$ is the vector bundle on the moduli space $\overline{M}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^{k+3}, d)$ whose fiber over a stable map $f : C \to \mathbb{P}^{k+3}$ is $H^0(C, f^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{k+3}}(d_i))$. Note that $\mathcal{V}_d^{(5)}$ is $\mathcal{V}_d$ in the quintic case. Therefore, we have the following formula:

$$N_d^{(d_1,\ldots,d_k)} = \int_{\overline{M}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^{k+3},d)} \prod_{i=1}^{k} c_{d_i d+1}(\mathcal{V}_d^{(d_i)}).$$

Using Corollary 9.1.4 in [3], we have

$$N_d^{(d_1,\ldots,d_k)} = \sum_{\Gamma} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{k} c^T_{d_i d+1}(\mathcal{V}_d^{(d_i)}|_\Gamma)}{a_\Gamma e^T(N_\Gamma)},$$

where the sum runs over all graphs corresponding to the fixed point components of a torus action on $\overline{M}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^{k+3}, d)$. For each graph Γ and each i, the T-equivariant Chern class $c^T_{d_i d+1}(\mathcal{V}_d^{d_i}|_\Gamma)$ is computed by the following formula:

$$c^T_{d_i d+1}(\mathcal{V}_d^{(d_i)}|_\Gamma) = \prod_e \left(\prod_{a,b\in\mathbb{N}, a+b=d_i d_e} \frac{a\lambda_{i_{v_1(e)}} + b\lambda_{i_{v_2(e)}}}{d_e} \right) \prod_v (d_i \lambda_{i_v})^{1-\mathrm{val}(v)}, \tag{9}$$

where the products run over all the edges and vertices of Γ. We sketch an algorithm (see Algorithm 6.1) for computing the Gromov-Witten invariants $N_d^{(d_1,\ldots,d_k)}$.

Algorithm 6.1 `rationalCurve(int d, list l)`

Input: A positive integer d and a list of the integers $d_1, \ldots, d_k$ with $d_i \geq 2$ for all i.
Output: The Gromov-Witten invariants $N_d^{(d_1,\ldots,d_k)}$ defined by (8).

1: The natural action of $T = (\mathbb{C}^*)^{k+4}$ on $\mathbb{P}^{k+3}$ induces a T-action on the moduli space $\overline{M}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^{k+3}, d)$. Enumerate all the possible graphs Γ corresponding to the fixed point components of this action.
2: Set $a = 0$.
3: **for** each graph Γ **do**
4: **for** i from 1 to k **do**
5: Use formula (9) to compute the equivariant Chern class $c^T_{d_i d+1}(\mathcal{V}_d^{(d_i)}|_\Gamma)$ of the contribution bundle $\mathcal{V}_d^{(d_i)}$ at Γ.
6: **end for**
7: Set $s = \prod_{i=1}^k c^T_{d_i d+1}(\mathcal{V}_d^{(d_i)}|_\Gamma)$.
8: Use the formulas in Theorem 3.7 to compute the equivariant Euler class $e^T(N_\Gamma)$ of the normal bundle N_Γ.
9: Use the exact sequence (1) to compute the order a_Γ of the group A_Γ.
10: Set $a = a + \frac{s}{a_\Gamma e^T(N_\Gamma)}$.
11: **end for**
12: **Return** a.

Here is the implementation of Algorithm 6.1 in `schubert.lib`:

```
> proc rationalCurve(int d, list l)
{
    int i,j;
    variety P = projectiveSpace(size(l)+3);
    stack M = moduliSpace(P,d);
    def F = fixedPoints(M);
    number a = 0;
    for (i=1;i<=size(F);i++)
    {
        graph G = F[i][1];
        number s = 1;
        for (j=1;j<=size(l);j++)
        {
            s = s*contributionBundle(M,G,l[j]);
        }
        number t = F[i][2]*normalBundle(M,G);
        a = a + s/t;
```

```
        kill s,t,G;
    }
    return (a);
}
```

Note that the function `contributionBundle` in the implementation of Algorithm 6.1 is based on the formula (9).

As for the quintic hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^4$, we may define *instanton numbers* $\tilde{n}_d^{(d_1,\dots,d_k)}$ for complete intersection Calabi-Yau threefolds of type $(d_1,\dots,d_k)$ in $\mathbb{P}^{k+3}$ such that the equation

$$N_d^{(d_1,\dots,d_k)} = \sum_{k|d} \frac{\tilde{n}_{d/k}^{(d_1,\dots,d_k)}}{k^3} \tag{10}$$

is automatically true. In the following examples, the instanton numbers agree with the numbers of rational curves. We therefore use the notation $n_d^{(d_1,\dots,d_k)}$ also for the instanton numbers.

We illustrate the computation of the numbers $N_1^{(4,2)}, N_1^{(3,3)}, N_1^{(3,2,2)}, N_1^{(2,2,2,2)}$ as follows:

```
> ring r = 0,x,dp;
> list l = list(4,2),list(3,3),list(3,2,2),list(2,2,2,2);
> for (int i=1;i<=4;i++) {rationalCurve(1,l[i]);}
1280
1053
720
512
```

These results are the numbers $n_1^{(4,2)}, n_1^{(3,3)}, n_1^{(3,2,2)}, n_1^{(2,2,2,2)}$ of lines on the general complete intersection Calabi-Yau threefolds. They agree with [15, Table 1.3].

The numbers $N_2^{(4,2)}, N_2^{(3,3)}, N_2^{(3,2,2)}, N_2^{(2,2,2,2)}$ are computed as follows:

```
> for (int i=1;i<=4;i++) {rationalCurve(2,l[i]);}
92448
423549/8
22518
9792
```

The numbers $n_2^{(4,2)}, n_2^{(3,3)}, n_2^{(3,2,2)}, n_2^{(2,2,2,2)}$ of conics on the general complete intersection Calabi-Yau threefolds of types $(4,2), (3,3), (3,2,2), (2,2,2,2)$, respectively, are computed as follows:

$$n_2^{(4,2)} = N_2^{(4,2)} - \frac{n_1^{(4,2)}}{8} = 92448 - \frac{1280}{8} = \mathbf{92288},$$

$$n_2^{(3,3)} = N_2^{(3,3)} - \frac{n_1^{(3,3)}}{8} = \frac{423549}{8} - \frac{1053}{8} = \mathbf{52812},$$

$$n_2^{(3,2,2)} = N_2^{(3,2,2)} - \frac{n_1^{(3,2,2)}}{8} = 22518 - \frac{720}{8} = \mathbf{22428},$$
$$n_2^{(2,2,2,2)} = N_2^{(2,2,2,2)} - \frac{n_1^{(2,2,2,2)}}{8} = 9792 - \frac{512}{8} = \mathbf{9728}.$$

The numbers $N_3^{(4,2)}, N_3^{(3,3)}, N_3^{(3,2,2)}, N_3^{(2,2,2,2)}$ are computed as follows:

```
> for (int i=1;i<=4;i++) {rationalCurve(3,l[i]);}
422690816/27
6424365
4834592/3
11239424/27
```

The numbers $n_3^{(4,2)}, n_3^{(3,3)}, n_3^{(3,2,2)}, n_3^{(2,2,2,2)}$ of conics on the general complete intersection Calabi-Yau threefolds of types $(4,2), (3,3), (3,2,2), (2,2,2,2)$, respectively, are computed as follows:

$$n_3^{(4,2)} = N_3^{(4,2)} - \frac{n_1^{(4,2)}}{27} = \frac{422690816}{27} - \frac{1280}{27} = \mathbf{15655168},$$
$$n_3^{(3,3)} = N_3^{(3,3)} - \frac{n_1^{(3,3)}}{27} = 6424365 - \frac{1053}{27} = \mathbf{6424326},$$
$$n_3^{(3,2,2)} = N_3^{(3,2,2)} - \frac{n_1^{(3,2,2)}}{27} = \frac{4834592}{3} - \frac{720}{27} = \mathbf{1611504},$$
$$n_3^{(2,2,2,2)} = N_3^{(2,2,2,2)} - \frac{n_1^{(2,2,2,2)}}{27} = \frac{11239424}{27} - \frac{512}{27} = \mathbf{416256}.$$

These numbers agree with [7, Theorem 1.1].

The numbers $N_4^{(4,2)}, N_4^{(3,3)}, N_4^{(3,2,2)}, N_4^{(2,2,2,2)}$ are computed as follows:

```
> for (int i=1;i<=4;i++) {rationalCurve(4,l[i]);}
3883914084
72925120125/64
672808059/4
25705160
```

The numbers $n_4^{(4,2)}, n_4^{(3,3)}, n_4^{(3,2,2)}, n_4^{(2,2,2,2)}$ of conics on the general complete intersection Calabi-Yau threefolds of types $(4,2), (3,3), (3,2,2), (2,2,2,2)$, respectively, are computed as follows:

$$n_4^{(4,2)} = N_4^{(4,2)} - \frac{n_2^{(4,2)}}{8} - \frac{n_1^{(4,2)}}{64} = 3883914084 - \frac{92288}{8} - \frac{1280}{64} = \mathbf{3883902528},$$
$$n_4^{(3,3)} = N_4^{(3,3)} - \frac{n_2^{(3,3)}}{8} - \frac{n_1^{(3,3)}}{64} = \frac{72925120125}{64} - \frac{52812}{8} - \frac{1053}{64} = \mathbf{1139448384},$$
$$n_4^{(3,2,2)} = N_4^{(3,2,2)} - \frac{n_2^{(3,2,2)}}{8} - \frac{n_1^{(3,2,2)}}{64} = \frac{672808059}{4} - \frac{22428}{8} - \frac{720}{64} = \mathbf{168199200},$$
$$n_4^{(2,2,2,2)} = N_4^{(2,2,2,2)} - \frac{n_2^{(2,2,2,2)}}{8} - \frac{n_1^{(2,2,2,2)}}{64} = 25705160 - \frac{9728}{8} - \frac{512}{64} = \mathbf{25703936}.$$

The numbers $N_5^{(4,2)}, N_5^{(3,3)}, N_5^{(3,2,2)}, N_5^{(2,2,2,2)}$ are computed as follows:

```
> for (int i=1;i<=4;i++) {rationalCurve(5,l[i]);}
29773082054656/25
31223486573928/125
541923292944/25
244747968512/125
```

The numbers $n_5^{(4,2)}, n_5^{(3,3)}, n_5^{(3,2,2)}, n_5^{(2,2,2,2)}$ of conics on the general complete intersection Calabi-Yau threefolds of types $(4,2),(3,3),(3,2,2),(2,2,2,2)$, respectively, are computed as follows:

$$n_5^{(4,2)} = N_5^{(4,2)} - \frac{n_1^{(4,2)}}{125} = \frac{29773082054656}{25} - \frac{1280}{125} = \mathbf{1190923282176},$$

$$n_5^{(3,3)} = N_5^{(3,3)} - \frac{n_1^{(3,3)}}{125} = \frac{31223486573928}{125} - \frac{1053}{125} = \mathbf{249787892583},$$

$$n_5^{(3,2,2)} = N_5^{(3,2,2)} - \frac{n_1^{(3,2,2)}}{125} = \frac{541923292944}{25} - \frac{720}{125} = \mathbf{21676931712},$$

$$n_5^{(2,2,2,2)} = N_5^{(2,2,2,2)} - \frac{n_1^{(2,2,2,2)}}{125} = \frac{244747968512}{125} - \frac{512}{125} = \mathbf{1957983744}.$$

The numbers $N_6^{(4,2)}, N_6^{(3,3)}, N_6^{(3,2,2)}, N_6^{(2,2,2,2)}$ are computed as follows:

```
> for (int i=1;i<=4;i++) {rationalCurve(6,l[i]);}
417874607302656
501287722516269/8
3195558106836
511607926784/3
```

The numbers $n_6^{(4,2)}, n_6^{(3,3)}, n_6^{(3,2,2)}, n_6^{(2,2,2,2)}$ of conics on the general complete intersection Calabi-Yau threefolds of type $(4,2),(3,3),(3,2,2),(2,2,2,2)$, respectively, are computed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} n_6^{(4,2)} &= N_6^{(4,2)} - \frac{n_3^{(4,2)}}{8} - \frac{n_2^{(4,2)}}{27} - \frac{n_1^{(4,2)}}{216} \\ &= 417874607302656 - \frac{15655168}{8} - \frac{92288}{27} - \frac{1280}{216} \\ &= \mathbf{417874605342336}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} n_6^{(3,3)} &= N_6^{(3,3)} - \frac{n_3^{(3,3)}}{8} - \frac{n_2^{(3,3)}}{27} - \frac{n_1^{(3,3)}}{216} \\ &= \frac{501287722516269}{8} - \frac{6424326}{8} - \frac{52812}{27} - \frac{1053}{216} \\ &= \mathbf{62660964509532}, \end{aligned}$$

d	$(4,2)$	$(3,3)$	$(3,2,2)$	$(2,2,2,2)$
1	1280	1053	720	512
2	92288	52812	22428	9728
3	15655168	6424326	1611504	416256
4	3883902528	1139448384	168199200	25703936
5	1190923282176	249787892583	21676931712	1957983744
6	417874605342336	62660964509532	3195557904564	170535923200

TABLE 2. Some numbers $n_d^{(d_1,\dots,d_k)}$.

$$\begin{aligned} n_6^{(3,2,2)} &= N_6^{(3,2,2)} - \frac{n_3^{(3,2,2)}}{8} - \frac{n_2^{(3,2,2)}}{27} - \frac{n_1^{(3,2,2)}}{216} \\ &= 3195558106836 - \frac{1611504}{8} - \frac{22428}{27} - \frac{720}{216} \\ &= \mathbf{3195557904564}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} n_6^{(2,2,2,2)} &= N_6^{(2,2,2,2)} - \frac{n_3^{(2,2,2,2)}}{8} - \frac{n_2^{(2,2,2,2)}}{27} - \frac{n_1^{(2,2,2,2)}}{216} \\ &= \frac{511607926784}{3} - \frac{416256}{8} - \frac{9728}{27} - \frac{512}{216} \\ &= \mathbf{170535923200}. \end{aligned}$$

Summarizing the computations above, we obtain Table 2.

The numbers with $4 \leq d \leq 6$ have not been obtained before by algebro-geometric methods. They are all in agreement with predictions made from mirror symmetry in [20] up to degree 6.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work is part of my Ph.D. thesis at the University of Kaiserslautern. I would like to take this opportunity to express my profound gratitude to my advisor Professor Wolfram Decker. I would also like to thank Professor Andreas Gathmann and Dr. Janko Böhm for their valuable suggestions.

REFERENCES

[1] Roaul H. Bott, A residue formula for holomorphic vector fields, *J. of Diff. Geometry* **1** (1964), 311–330.

[2] Philip Candelas, Xenia de la Ossa, Paul S. Green and Linda Parkes, A pair of Calabi-Yau manifolds as an exactly soluble superconformal field theory, *Nuclear Physics* **359**, (1991), 21–74.

[3] David A. Cox and Sheldon Katz, *Mirror symmetry and algebraic geometry*, American Mathematical Society, 1999.

[4] Wolfram Decker, Gert-Martin Greuel, Gerhard Pfister and Hans Schönemann, SINGULAR 4-0-2 — A computer algebra system for polynomial computations, 2015, available at `http://www.singular.uni-kl.de`.

[5] David Eisenbud and Joe Harris, 3264 & *All that Intersection Theory in Algebraic Geometry*, book in preparation, 2013.
[6] Geir Ellingsrud and Stein A. Strømme, The number of twisted cubic curves on the general quintic threefold, *Math. Scand.* **76** (1995), 5–34.
[7] Geir Ellingsrud and Stein A. Strømme, Bott's formula and enumerative geometry, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **9** (1996), 175–193.
[8] William Fulton, *Intersection theory*, second edition. Springer, 1997.
[9] William Fulton and Rahul Pandharipande, Notes on stable maps and quantum cohomology, In: *Algebraic Geometry–Santa Cruz 1995*, 45–96, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 62, Part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.
[10] Andreas Gathmann, *Gromov-Witten invariants of hypersurfaces*, Habilitation thesis, Technische Universität Kaiserslautern, 2003.
[11] Alexander B. Givental, Equivariant Gromov-Witten invariants, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* **13** (1996), 613–663.
[12] Alexander B. Givental, A Mirror Theorem for Toric Complete Intersections, in *Topological field theory, primitive forms and related topics* (Kashiwara, Masaki et al. eds.), Prog. Math. **160**, Birkhäuser (1998), 141–175.
[13] Dang Tuan Hiep, On the degree of Fano schemes of linear subspaces on hypersurfaces, *Kodai Math. J.* **39** (2016), no. 1.
[14] Sheldon Katz, On the finiteness of rational curves on quintic threefolds, *Compositio Math.* **60** (1986), no. 2, 151–162.
[15] Sheldon Katz, Lines on complete intersection threefolds with $K = 0$, *Math. Z.* **191** (1986), 297–302.
[16] Joachim Kock and Isreal Vainsencher, *An invitation to Quantum Cohomology. Kontsevich's formula for rational plane curves*, Progress in Mathematics, 249, Birkhäuser, 2007.
[17] Maxim Kontsevich, Intersection theory on the moduli space of curves and the matrix Airy function, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **147** (1992), 1–23.
[18] Maxim Kontsevich, Enumeration of rational curves via torus actions, in *The moduli space of curves (Texel Island, 1994)*, 335–368, Progress in Mathematics, 129, Birkhäuser, 1995.
[19] Bong H. Lian, Kefeng Liu, and Shing-Tung Yau, Mirror principle I, *Asian J. Math.* **1** (1997), 729–763.
[20] Anatoly Libgober and Jeremy Teitelbaum, Lines on on Calabi-Yau complete intersections, mirror symmetry, and Picard-Fuchs equations, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* **1** (1993), 29–39.
[21] David R. Morrison, Mirror symmetry and rational curves on quintic threefolds: a guide for mathematicians, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **6** (1993), 223–247.

National Center for Theoretical Sciences, No. 1 Sec. 4 Roosevelt Rd., National Taiwan University, Taipei, 106, Taiwan

E-mail address: hdang@ncts.ntu.edu.tw